

June 5, 1959

Lee Strong
Beaver, Utah

Dear Lee:

In following up our discussion and Cliff's letter of May 27, 1959, we are enclosing my copy of the Beaver River Decree for your use and a table to be used in prorating the water between the rights.

1870 Priorities on Beaver River Direct Diversions from Barton Ditch
heading to Rocky Ford Reservoir

DITCH	FLOW RIGHT c.f.s.	DIVISION OF WATER		FLOW TO BE ADDED*
		4/1 - 6/1 Percent	6/1 - 10/31 Percent	to 6/1 - 10/31 c.f.s.
Barton	7.00	15.3	9.1	0.69
Aberdare	13.37	29.3	17.5	1.32
Emerson	3.12	6.8	4.1	0.31
Price & Thomas	0.33	0.7	0.4	0.03
South Ditch	2.88	6.3	3.8	0.28
Furnace	7.57	16.6	9.9	0.75
Hay	1.69	3.7	2.1	0.17
Rocky Fork 96 (a)	9.67	21.2	53.0	0.95
Sub Total	45.63			
96 (b)	31.00			
c.f.s. used in total	76.63	45.63	76.63	4.50

* If all the flow rights are not satisfied

We hope this table will help you in dividing these waters.

To get the status of all changes since 1936 will take considerable time and this information will be mailed as soon as possible.

Each well application in the Beaver area constitutes a problem related to its location. However, our general policy is not to approve any that are in the flood plain of the river and there is evidence that most pumped water would be contributory to the Beaver River.

It is our thinking that any well that will not cause interference or pump river water would be acceptable but we believe the burden of proof should rest with the applicant.

Hope this suggests answers to your questions for this time. See you on my next trip down.

DCH/eca

cc: Cliff Johnson
Cedar City, Utah

Sincerely yours,

Donald C. Norseth
DISTRIBUTION ENGINEER